

28. **Abdollah F, Novara G, Briganti A, et al.** Trans-rectal Versus Trans-Perineal Saturation Rebiopsy of the Prostate: Is There a Difference in Cancer Detection Rate? *Urology* 2011; 77(4): 921–925.
29. **Di Franco CA, Jallous H, Porru D, et al.** A retrospective comparison between transrectal and transperineal prostate biopsy in the detection of prostate cancer. *Arch Ital Urol Androl Organo Uff Soc Ital Ecogr Urol E Nefrol* 2017; 89(1): 55–59.
30. **Marra G, Eldred-Evans D, Challacombe B, et al.** Pathological Concordance between Prostate Biopsies and Radical Prostatectomy Using Transperineal Sector Mapping Biopsies: Validation and Comparison with Transrectal Biopsies. *Urol Int* 2017; 99(2): 168–176.
31. **Scott S, Samaratunga H, Chabert C, Breckenridge M, Gianduzzo T.** Is transperineal prostate biopsy more accurate than transrectal biopsy in determining final Gleason score and clinical risk category? A comparative analysis. *BJU Int* 2015; 116(Suppl 3): 26–30.
32. **Shen P-F, Zhu Y-C, Wei W-R, et al.** The results of transperineal versus transrectal prostate biopsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian J Androl* 2012; 14(2): 310–315.
33. **Nelson AW, Harvey RC, Parker RA, et al.** Repeat prostate biopsy strategies after initial negative biopsy: meta-regression comparing cancer detection of transperineal, transrectal saturation and MRI guided biopsy. *PLoS One* 2013; 8(2): e57480.
34. **Zhang Q, Wang W, Zhang B, et al.** Comparison of free-hand transperineal mpMRI/TRUS fusion-guided biopsy with transperineal 12-core systematic biopsy for the diagnosis of prostate cancer: a single-center prospective study in China. *Int Urol Nephrol* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 22. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11255-016-1484-8>.
35. **Miah S, Hosking-Jervis F, Connor MJ, et al.** A Multicentre Analysis of the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer Following Transperineal Image-fusion Targeted and Nontargeted Systematic Prostate Biopsy in Men at Risk. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2020; 3(3): 262–269.
36. **Radtke JP, Kuru TH, Boxler S, et al.** Comparative analysis of transperineal template saturation prostate biopsy versus magnetic resonance imaging targeted biopsy with magnetic resonance imaging-ultrasound fusion guidance. *J Urol* 2015; 193(1): 87–94.
37. **Hansen NL, Kesch C, Barrett T, et al.** Multicentre evaluation of targeted and systematic biopsies using magnetic resonance and ultrasound image-fusion guided transperineal prostate biopsy in patients with a previous negative biopsy. *BJU Int* [Internet]. 2016 Nov 10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bju.13711>
38. **Borkowetz A, Hadaschik B, Platzeck I, et al.** Prospective comparison of transperineal magnetic resonance imaging/ultrasonography fusion biopsy and transrectal systematic biopsy in biopsy-naïve patients. *BJU Int* 2018; 121(1): 53–60.
39. **Loy LM, Lim GH, Leow JJ, et al.** A systematic review and meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound guided fusion biopsy of prostate for cancer detection – comparing transrectal with transperineal approaches. *Urol Oncol* 2020; 38(8): 650–660.
40. **Khoo CC, Eldred-Evans D, Peters M, et al.** A Comparison of Prostate Cancer Detection between Visual Estimation (Cognitive Registration) and Image Fusion (Software Registration) Targeted Transperineal Prostate Biopsy. *J Urol* 2020; Nov 18; 101097JU0000000000001476.
41. **Meyer AR, Mamawala M, Winoker JS, et al.** Transperineal Prostate Biopsy Improves the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer among Men on Active Surveillance. *J Urol* 2020; Dec 1;101097JU0000000000001523.
42. **Xue J, Qin Z, Cai H, et al.** Comparison between transrectal and transperineal prostate biopsy for detection of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8(14): 23322–23336.
43. **Guo L-H, Wu R, Xu H-X, et al.** Comparison between Ultrasound Guided Transperineal and Transrectal Prostate Biopsy: A Prospective, Randomized and Controlled Trial. *Sci Rep* 2015; 5(1): 16089.