

20. Singla N, Hutchinson RC, Ghandour RA, et al. Improved survival after cytoreductive nephrectomy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma in the contemporary immunotherapy era: An analysis of the National Cancer Database. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig.* 2020; 38(6): 604.e9–604.e17. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2020. 02. 029.
21. Bakouny Z, Xie W, Dudani S, et al. Cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) for metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) or targeted therapy (TT): A propensity score-based analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020; 38(6_suppl): 608–608. doi:10.1200/JCO.2020. 38. 6_suppl.608.
22. Poprach A, Holanek M, Chloupkova R, et al. Cytoreductive Nephrectomy and Overall Survival of Patients with Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Treated with Targeted Therapy–Data from the National Renis Registry. *Cancers (Basel).* 2020; 12(10): 2911. doi:10.3390/cancers12102911.
23. Ljungberg B, Albiges L, Abu-Ghanem Y, et al. European Association of Urology Guidelines on Renal Cell Carcinoma: The 2019 Update. *Eur Urol.* 2019; 75(5): 799–810. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2019. 02. 011.
24. Mejean A, Thezenas S, Chevreau C, et al. Cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) in metastatic renal cancer (mRCC): Update on Carmena trial with focus on intermediate IMDC-risk population. *J Clin Oncol.* 2019; 37(15_suppl): 4508–4508. doi:10.1200/JCO.2019. 37. 15_suppl.4508.
25. Heng DY, Wells JC, Rini BI, et al. Cytoreductive Nephrectomy in Patients with Synchronous Metastases from Renal Cell Carcinoma: Results from the International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium. *Eur Urol.* 2014; 66(4): 704–710. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2014. 05. 034.
26. Silagy AW, Kotecha RR, Weng S, et al. Evolving biological associations of upfront cytoreductive nephrectomy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer.* 2021; 127(21): 3946–3956. doi:10.1002/cncr.33790
27. Shuch B, La Rochelle JC, Wu J, et al. Performance status and cytoreductive nephrectomy. *Cancer.* 2008; 113(6): 1324–1331. doi:10.1002/cncr.23708.
28. Ohno Y, Nakashima J, Ohori M, et al. Clinical variables for predicting metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients who might not benefit from cytoreductive nephrectomy: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and performance status. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2014; 19(1): 139–145. doi:10.1007/s10147-012-0514-5.
29. Pierorazio PM, McKiernan JM, McCann TR, Mohile S, Petrylak D, Benson MC. Outcome after cytoreductive nephrectomy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma is predicted by fractional percentage of tumour volume removed. *BJU Int.* 2007; 100(4): 755–759. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2007.07108.x.
30. Capitanio U, Abdollah F, Matloob R, et al. Effect of number and location of distant metastases on renal cell carcinoma mortality in candidates for cytoreductive nephrectomy: Implications for multimodal therapy. *Int J Urol.* 2013; 20(6): 572–579. doi:10.1111/iju.12004.
31. Yoon H-J, Paeng JC, Kwak C, et al. Prognostic implication of extrarenal metabolic tumor burden in advanced renal cell carcinoma treated with targeted therapy after nephrectomy. *Ann Nucl Med.* 2013; 27(8): 748–755. doi:10.1007/s12149-013-0742-4.
32. de Bruijn RE, Nijkamp J, Noe A, et al. Baseline tumor volume in assessing prognosis of patients with intermediate-risk synchronous metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig.* 2016; 34(6): 258.e7–258.e13. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2015. 12. 007.
33. Blute ML, Ziemlewicz TJ, Lang JM, et al. Metastatic Tumor Burden Does Not Predict Overall Survival Following Cytoreductive Nephrectomy for Renal Cell Carcinoma: a Novel 3-Dimensional Volumetric Analysis. *Urology.* 2017; 100: 139–144. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2016. 09. 016.
34. Guo B, Liu S, Wang M, Hou H, Liu M. The role of cytoreductive nephrectomy in renal cell carcinoma patients with liver metastasis. *Bosn J Basic Med Sci.* July 2020. doi:10.17305/bjbms.2020.4896.
35. Massari F, Di Nunno V, Guida A, et al. Addition of Primary Metastatic Site on Bone, Brain, and Liver to IMDC Criteria in Patients With Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma: A Validation Study. *Clin Genitourin Cancer.* 2021; 19(1): 32–40. doi:10.1016/j.clgc.2020. 06. 003.